

# CONVEX HULL OF FACE VECTORS OF COLORED COMPLEXES

AFSHIN GOODARZI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we verify a conjecture by Kozlov (Discrete Comput Geom 18 (1997) 421–431), which describes the convex hull of the set of face vectors of  $r$ -colorable complexes on  $n$  vertices. As part of the proof we derive a generalization of Turán’s graph theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and let  $\Delta_k$  be the set of all faces of  $\Delta$  of cardinality  $k$ . The face vector of  $\Delta$  is  $f(\Delta) = (n, f_2, f_3, \dots)$  where  $f_k$  is the cardinality of  $\Delta_k$ . A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is said to be  $r$ -colorable if its underlying graph (i.e., the graph with the same vertices as  $\Delta$  and with edges  $\Delta_2$ ) is  $r$ -colorable.

Throughout this paper, by a graph  $G$  we mean a finite graph without any loops or multiple edges. The set of vertices and edges of  $G$  will be denoted by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. The cardinality of  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  are *order* and *size* of  $G$ . A  $k$ -clique in  $G$  is a complete induced subgraph of  $G$  of order  $k$ . The *clique vector* of  $G$  is  $c(G) = (c_1(G), c_2(G), \dots)$ , where  $c_k(G)$  is the number of  $k$ -cliques in  $G$ . The *Turán graph*  $T(n, r)$  is the complete  $r$ -partite graph of order  $n$  with cardinality of the maximal independent sets “as equal as possible”.

A vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^d$  will be called positive if it has positive coordinates. The  $k$ -truncation of  $g$ , denoted by  $g^k$ , is the vector whose first  $k$  coordinates are equal to the coordinates of  $g$ , and the rest are equal to zero, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

Kozlov conjectured [4, Conjecture 6.2] that the convex hull of the face vectors of  $r$ -colorable complexes on  $n$  vertices has a simple description in term of the clique vector of the Turán graph. The main result of this paper is to show the validity of his conjecture, more precisely:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The convex hull of  $f$ -vectors of  $r$ -colorable complexes on  $n$  vertices is generated by the truncations of the clique vector of Turán graph  $T(n, r)$ .*

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we set up a method for finding the convex hull of the skeleta of a positive vector. The generalization of Turán's graph theorem will be proved in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we will prove our main result.

## 2. THALES' LEMMA

Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$  be a positive vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and denote by  $\mathcal{C}_g$  the convex hull generated by the origin and all truncations of  $g$ . If  $g \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_g$  is the boundary and interior of a right angle triangle. In this case using Thales' Intercept theorem, one can see that a positive vector  $(a, b)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_g$  if and only if  $a \leq g_1$  and  $(b/a) \leq (g_2/g_1)$ . The following result is a generalization of this simple observation.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_d)$  and  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$  be two positive vectors. Then  $f \in \mathcal{C}_g$  if and only if  $f_1 \leq g_1$  and  $f_i g_j \leq f_j g_i$  for all  $1 \leq j < i \leq d$ .*

*Proof.* The vectors  $g^1, \dots, g^d$  form a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So there exists  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $f = \sum_1^d c_i g^i$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_d &= c_d g_d, \\ f_{d-1} &= (c_{d-1} + c_d) g_{d-1}, \\ &\vdots \\ f_1 &= (c_1 + \dots + c_d) g_1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $f \in \mathcal{C}_g$  if and only if  $c_j \geq 0$  for all  $j$  and  $\sum c_i \leq 1$ . Therefore we have  $f \in \mathcal{C}_g$  if and only if  $f_1 = (\sum c_i) g_1 \leq g_1$  and  $f_i g_j = (c_i + \dots + c_d) g_i g_j \leq (c_j + \dots + c_i + \dots + c_d) g_j g_i = f_j g_i$ .  $\square$

In the special case where  $g$  is the face vector of the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex, the result above is already contained in the work of Kozlov [4, Section 5]. His proof, however, works in the general case as well.

## 3. TURÁN GRAPHS

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$  the set of all graphs  $G$  of order  $n$  and clique number  $\omega(G) \leq r$ . Turán graph has many extremal behaviors among all graphs in  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$ . Recall that *Turán graph*  $T(n, r)$  is the complete  $r$ -partite graph of order  $n$  with cardinality of the maximal independent sets as equal as possible. We will denote by  $t_k(n, r)$  the number of  $k$ -cliques in  $T(n, r)$ .

In 1941 Turán proved that among all graphs in  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$ , the Turán graph  $T(n, r)$  has the maximum number of edges. This result, *Turán's graph theorem*, is a cornerstone of Extremal Graph Theory. There are

many different and elegant proofs of Turán's graph theorem. Some of these proofs were discussed in [1] and in [2, Chapter 36].

Later, in 1949, Zykov generalized Turán's graph theorem by showing that  $c_k(G) \leq t_k(n, r)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$  and all  $k$ . Here we state and prove a generalization of Zykov's result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$  and for each  $k \in \{2, \dots, r\}$ , one has*

$$\frac{c_r(G)}{t_r(n, r)} \leq \dots \leq \frac{c_k(G)}{t_k(n, r)} \leq \frac{c_{k-1}(G)}{t_{k-1}(n, r)} \leq \dots \leq \frac{c_2(G)}{t_2(n, r)} \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$ . We may assume that  $G$  is not complete and for a fixed  $k$ ,  $q_k(G) := c_k(G)/c_{k-1}(G)$  is maximum among all graphs in  $\mathcal{G}(n, r)$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two disconnected vertices in  $G$  and define  $G_{u \rightarrow v}$  to be the graph with the same vertex set as  $G$  and with edges  $E(G_{u \rightarrow v}) = (E(G) \cup (\cup_{w \in N(v)} \{u, w\})) \setminus (\cup_{z \in N(u)} \{u, z\})$ .

The following properties can be simply verified

- $G_{u \rightarrow v} \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$ ,
- $c_k(G_{u \rightarrow v}) = c_k(G) - c_{k-1}(G[N(u)]) + c_{k-1}(G[N(v)])$ .

On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that either one of  $q_k(G_{u \rightarrow v})$  and  $q_k(G_{v \rightarrow u})$  is strictly greater than  $q_k(G)$ , or they are all equal. Hence  $q_k(G_{u \rightarrow v})$  is maximal.

Now consider all vertices of  $G$  that are not connected to  $v$ . let us label them by  $u_1, \dots, u_m$ . We define

$$G^1 := G_{u_1 \rightarrow v}, \dots, G^j := G_{u_j \rightarrow v}^{j-1}, \dots, G^m := G_{u_m \rightarrow v}^{m-1}.$$

If  $G^m \setminus \{v, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  is a clique, then we stop. If not, there exists a vertex  $w \in G^m \setminus \{v, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  which is not connected to all other vertices. We repeat the above process with  $w$  and continue until the remaining vertices form a clique. So we will obtain a complete multipartite graph  $H \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$  such that  $q_k(H)$  is maximum. If  $H$  is a Turán graph, then we are done. If not there exist two maximal independent sets  $I_1 = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  and  $I_2 = \{z_1, \dots, z_l\}$  such that  $m - 2 \geq l$ . Let  $H'$  be the graph obtained by removing all edges of the form  $w_m z_i$  and adding new edges  $w_m w_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Then it is easy to see that for all  $j$ ,  $H'$  has as many  $j$ -cliques as  $H$  has and, in particular  $q_k(H')$  is maximum. Therefore  $q_k(H'_{w_m \rightarrow z_1})$  is maximum as well and the result follows by repeating the above process. □

**Remark 3.2.** The operator  $G_{u \rightarrow v}$  in our proof is similar to operators used in [2, p. 238] and in [4, Theorem 3.3]. However it may belong to “folklore” graph theory, since its origin is not clear.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In order to prove our main result, using Thales' Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that for any  $r$ -colorable complex  $\Delta$  on  $n$  vertices and for each  $k$ ,

$$f_k(\Delta)/f_{k-1}(\Delta) \leq t_k(n, r)/t_{k-1}(n, r).$$

To prove inequalities above, we need further definitions.

Let  $1 \leq k \leq r$  be fixed integers and let us denote by  $\mathbb{N}_i$  the set of all positive integers whose residue modulo  $r$  is equal to  $i$ . The set of all  $r$ -colored  $k$ -subsets is

$$\mathcal{M}(k, r) = \left\{ F \in \binom{\mathbb{N}}{k} \mid |F \cap \mathbb{N}_i| \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \right\}.$$

We consider the partial order  $<_p$  on  $\mathcal{M}(k, r)$  defined as follows. For  $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  and  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  with  $t_1 < \dots < t_k$  and  $s_1 < \dots < s_k$  in  $\mathcal{M}(k, r)$ , set  $T <_p S$  if  $t_i \leq s_i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . A family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(k, r)$  is said to be  *$r$ -color shifted* if whenever  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $T <_p S$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{M}(k, r)$  one has  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ . A simplicial complex is said to be  *$r$ -color shifted* if for any  $k$  the set of its  $k$ -faces is an  $r$ -color shifted family. It is known that for any  $r$ -colorable complex  $\Delta$  on  $n$ -vertices and for any  $k$  there exists a  $r$ -color shifted complex  $\Gamma$  such that  $f_k(\Delta) = f_k(\Gamma)$  and  $f_{k-1}(\Delta) \geq f_{k-1}(\Gamma)$ . (see [3, Proposition 3.1], for instance.)

*Proof.* We use induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$ ,  $\Delta$  is totally disconnected and the statement clearly holds. Now assume that the statement holds for any  $(r - 1)$ -colorable complex. Fix a  $k$  and let  $\Delta$  be an  $r$ -colorable complex on  $n$  vertices such that

$$\frac{f_k(\Delta)}{f_{k-1}(\Delta)} = \max \left\{ \frac{f_k(\Gamma)}{f_{k-1}(\Gamma)} \mid \Gamma \text{ is an } r\text{-colorable on } n \text{ vertices} \right\}.$$

We may assume that  $\Delta$  is color shifted. We may also assume that for any  $j \geq k$  if  $\Delta$  contains the boundary of a  $j$ -simplex  $\delta$ , then  $\Delta$  contains  $\delta$  itself. Let  $I_{(1)} = \{u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}\}$  be the set of all vertices that are not connected to the vertex 1. For  $u \in I_{(1)}$  define  $\Delta_{u \rightarrow 1}$  to be the complex obtained by removing all faces which contain  $\{u\}$  properly and adding new faces  $F \cup \{u\}$  for all  $F \in \text{link}_\Delta 1$ . Note that if we have an  $r$ -coloring of  $\Delta$ , it is possible that  $u$  and a vertex in  $\text{link}_\Delta 1$  has the same color, however we can change the color of  $u$  with the color of 1, so this construction preserves  $r$ -colorability.

It is easy to see that

$$f_j(\Delta_{u \rightarrow 1}) = f_j(\Delta) - f_{j-1}(\text{link}_\Delta u) + f_{j-1}(\text{link}_\Delta 1).$$

Hence  $f_k(\Delta_{u \rightarrow 1})/f_{k-1}(\Delta_{u \rightarrow 1})$  is maximum as well. So if we define

$$\Lambda = (\dots ((\Delta_{u_1 \rightarrow 1})_{u_2 \rightarrow 1}) \dots)_{u_{m-1} \rightarrow 1},$$

then  $\Lambda$  is  $r$ -colorable and  $f_k(\Lambda)/f_{k-1}(\Lambda)$  is maximum, since in each step our operator preserves  $f_k/f_{k-1}$  and  $r$ -colorability.

Let us denote by  $L$  and  $D$ , the subcomplex  $\text{link}_\Delta 1$  and the subcomplex of  $\Delta$  induced by vertices of  $\text{link}_\Delta 1$ , respectively. It is easy to see that  $f_j(\Lambda) = mf_{j-1}(L) + f_j(D)$ .

**Claim 4.1.**  $D_j = L_j$ , for any  $j \geq k-1$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $L_j \subseteq D_j$ . So assume that  $F \in D_j$ . For any  $u \in F$  we have  $F \setminus \{u\} \cup \{1\} \in \Delta$ , by the structure of  $\Delta$ . Hence the boundary of  $F \cup \{1\}$  is in  $\Delta$  and we have  $F \cup \{1\} \in \Delta$ , therefore  $F \in L_j$ .  $\square$

So we have

$$\frac{f_k(\Lambda)}{f_{k-1}(\Lambda)} = \frac{mf_{k-1}(L) + f_k(L)}{mf_{k-2}(L) + f_{k-1}(L)}.$$

On the other hand, since  $L$  is  $(r-1)$ -colorable, there exists a graph  $H \in \mathcal{G}(|V(L)|, r-1)$  such that  $f_t(L)/f_{t-1}(L) \leq c_t(H)/c_{t-1}(H)$  for any  $2 \leq t \leq r-1$ . Denote by  $G^k$  the graph obtained by joining  $H$  and a totally disconnected graph on  $m$  vertices. Clearly  $G^k \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$  and we have  $c_t(G^k) = mc_{t-1}(H) + c_t(H)$  for all  $t$ . So we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k-1}(G^k)f_k(\Lambda) &= (mc_{k-2}(H) + c_{k-1}(H))(mf_{k-1}(L) + f_k(L)) \\ &= m^2c_{k-2}f_{k-1}(L) + mc_{k-2}(H)f_k(L) + \\ &\quad mf_{k-1}(L)c_{k-1}(H) + c_{k-1}(H)f_k(L) \\ &\leq m^2c_{k-1}f_{k-2}(L) + mc_k(H)f_{k-2}(L) + \\ &\quad mf_{k-1}(L)c_{k-1}(H) + c_k(H)f_{k-1}(L) \\ &= c_k(G^k)f_{k-1}(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

So we have proved that for any  $r$ -colorable simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices and for a fixed  $k$  there exists a graph  $G^k \in \mathcal{G}(n, r)$  such that  $f_k(\Delta)/f_{k-1}(\Delta) \leq c_k(G^k)/c_{k-1}(G^k)$ . On the other hand by using Theorem 3.1, for all  $k$ , we have

$$\frac{c_k(G^k)}{c_{k-1}(G^k)} \leq \frac{t_k(n, r)}{t_{k-1}(n, r)},$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Bruno Benedetti and Anders Björner for helpful suggestions and discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aigner, *Turán's Graph Theorem*, The American Mathematical Monthly **102** (9) (1995), 808-816.
- [2] M. Aigner, G. M. Ziegler, *Proofs from THE BOOK*, 4th ed., Springer, New York, 2010 (Chapter 36).
- [3] P. Frankl, Z. Füredi and G. Kalai, *Shadows of colored complexes*, Math. Scand. **63** (1988), 169-178.
- [4] D. N. Kozlov, *Convex Hulls of  $f$ - and  $\beta$ -vectors*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **18**, (1997), 421-431.
- [5] P. Turán, *Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **48** (1941) 436-452 (in Hungarian; German summary).
- [6] A. A. Zykov, *On some properties of linear complexes*, Mat. Sbornik (N. S.) **24** (66) (1949) 163-188 (in Russian). (English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. no. 79, 1952)

ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S-100  
44, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN  
*E-mail address:* afshingo@kth.se